



Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

•	The state of the s		_ ·	10
A	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/447,490 11/23/99	ECKARDT	, R	0691-018A/GP
Γ.		HM12/0517		EXAMINER
	SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GRO		MCKI	ENZIE,T
	230 PARK AVENUE		_ART UNIT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	SUITE 2200 NEW YORK NY 10169	*	162	4 8
			DATE MAILE	0: 05/17/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/447,490				
Advisory Action	Examiner	ECKARDT ET AL. Art Unit			
	Thomas Makensia Dh. D				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Thomas McKenzie Ph. D. pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 March 2000</u> FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either a allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, applicar Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFI	THIS APPLICATION IN CON avoid abandonment of this against timely filed amendment which may obtain further examinations.	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE. pplication. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check only a) or b)]				
 a)	hin two months as set forth in MPEF	a data of the final rejection			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked.	of extension and the corresponding :	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by n	naterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:	_	•			
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amendment			
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been co	onsidered but does NOT place the			
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLE	ELY to issues which were newly			
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached w	ritten explanation, if any):			
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a	a)∏has b)∏ has not been a	approved by the Examiner.			
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
.⊠ Other: <u>Please note attached PTO-892</u>					

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

Advisory Action

This response is prompted by attorney's reply of 3/20/00. It is supplemental 1.

to an advisory action mailed on 5/10/00. There are seven claims pending, claims

2-8, all directed to a process for making imipramine using acetic acid.

The amendment filed 3/20/00 under 37 CFR 1.116 in reply to the final 2.

rejection has been entered, but is not deemed to place the application in condition

for allowance. For purposes of appeal, the status of the claims is as follows:

Allowed claim(s): none

Rejected claim(s): 2-8

Claim(s) objected to: none

Response to Arguments

See 37 CFR 1.193(a)(2) which provides for the inclusion of the proposed 3.

rejection(s) detailed below in the Examiner's Answer if applicant elects to file an

appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in this proceeding. To be

complete, such rejection(s) must be addressed in any brief on appeal. Upon appeal

and entry of the amendment: claims 2-8 would be rejected for the reasons set forth

in paragraphs 4, 6, and 7 of the final Office Action mailed 3/1/00.

4. Attorney in his reply of 3/20/00 has made six points. His points are: Application/Control Number: 09/447,490 Page 3

Art Unit: 1624

a) The presently claimed mixture of acetic acid, alcohol, and water is not new

matter

b) Applicants claim a single step process, Acklin teaches a two step process

c) The translation of Acklin is inaccurate

d) Claim 14 of Acklin is a dependant claim that only reads on preformed cyanic

acid

e) Only acids as strong as or stronger than formic acid are taught by Acklin as

required for the claimed process

f) In examples 9 and 10 of Acklin, preformed cyanic acid is used

Response to Argument

a) This point was addressed in the 5/10/00 advisory action and attorney's argument

held to be unpersuasive.

b) This issue was discussed in point 13 of paper 3.

c) There are occasional typos in the translation (PTO 95-4841, prepared July 1995)

of Acklin. There are differences between Acklin (EP 277,095) and the US

"equivalent" of this reference, US Patent 4,847,374. Examiner has relied upon his

reading of the German language original, not the US Patent, and has cited this

original in his rejection. A new translation of Acklin has been ordered.

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490

Art Unit: 1624

d) Claim 14 of Acklin, which specifically claims acetic acid, depends on claims 2, 3, 4, and 8. Claim 2 specifies that the reaction be carried out in an organic solvent and in the presence of an acidic agent. Thus, the chain relied upon is claim 14, dependant on claim 2, in turn dependant upon claim 1. Claim 1 simply has cyanic acid, without reference to its' source. Claim 2 has the additional limitation of an organic solvent and an acidic agent. Claim 14, in the correct translation of "saures Mittel" as "acidic agent", says that acetic acid plays both roles. Therefore, claim 14 is a process claim with cyanic acid, the substrate amine, acetic acid, and nothing more. Claim 1 has no limitation in any regard as from where the cyanic acid comes. It is not limited to "monomeric HNCO" as attorney states. It is just cyanic acid arising from any source. In particular it can come from an alkali cyanate salt because that is what the reference teaches. In lines 39-54 of column 2, Acklin discusses the acids required to "push cyanic acid from their salts." "Cyansaure aus ihren Salzen zu verdrangen." In lines 27-41 of column 3, Acklin discusses suitable acidic media and specifically mentions acetic acid "Essigsaure" in line 31. Thus, cyanic acid from the salt plus acetic acid is explicitly set forth and claim 1 would be understood to contain this. Therefore, all elements of applicants' claimed process are present in Acklin.

Art Unit: 1624

e) The reaction between a weak acid like formic acid and the salt of a weak acid like cyanic acid can be written as:

HOOCR + OCN - HOCN + OOCR -
$$k = k_a/k_a$$
 where $R = H$ or CH_3

HOOCR - $H^+ + OOCR^ k = k_a$

HOOCR - $K = k_a/k_a$ $K = k_a$

The law of mass action in chemistry requires that the equilibrium constant for the reaction between a weak acid and the salt of a second weak acid be the ratio of the dissociation constant of the weak acid (k_a) and the second weak acid (k_a). The values given in pages 1753-1754 of the "Handbook of Chemistry and Physics 42^{nd} Edition" and on page 344 of "Gmelins Handbuch der anorganischen Chemie, Kohlenstoff, Tiel D1" for these constants are acetic acid = 1.76×10^{-5} , formic acid = 1.77×10^{-4} , and cyanic acid = 2.0×10^{-4} . Using these numbers, the calculated equilibrium constants are 8.8×10^{-2} for acetic acid and 8.8×10^{-1} for formic acid. In acetic acid, a cyanate salt will be 8% converted to cyanic acid. In formic acid, a

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490

Art Unit: 1624

cyanate salt will be 47% converted to cyanic acid. Thus, both acids are sufficiently strong to form enough cyanic acid *in situ* but neither completely converts a cyanate to cyanic acid.

Since all cyanic acid is irreversibly consumed in a reaction with the substrate amine, any acid capable of liberating cyanic acid from its alkali salt will do the job. The first reaction pictured above is a proton transfer process and therefore the rate expected to be diffusion limited. Cyanic acid consumed in reaction with substrate will be quickly replenished until the alkali cyanate is exhausted. Acklin does not say that acids weaker than formic acid can not be used. In addition, claim 14 specifically describes acetic acid as a suitable acetic agent notwithstanding earlier comments about formic acid.

f) Examples 9 and 10 of Acklin do employ externally generated cyanic acid. Applicants generate it *in situ*. These examples are not relied upon but rather is claim 14.

Res Judicata

5. Applicants Eckardt and Jansch argued before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences that the Acklin reference did not make obvious their claims. The current independent claim 8 differs from claim 1 in 08/275,025 by inserting the

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490

Art Unit: 1624

phrase "an acidic medium consisting of" before acetic acid, adding "optionally", replacing within by "with", and adding the phrase "or with an aqueous alcohol". The word "optionally" now stands deleted. The choice of an aqueous alcohol in acetic acid stands rejected as new matter. Acetic acid is an acidic medium as taught by Acklin. Thus, there is no issue that has not been previously decided. There is no hint in the written decision affirming the obviousness rejection (Appeal No. 1996-1528, cited in paper 5) that claims can be amended to make them patentable. Examiner, relying on his own reading of Acklin, has made a 102(b) anticipation rejection in addition to an obviousness rejection relying on the Acklin reference.

The court said *In re SZWARC*, 138 USPQ 208 (CCPA 1963) "The first requirement of res judicata is that the second suit must involve the same parties or their privies. Commissioner v. Sunnen, 333 U.S. 591, 77 USPQ 29" and "The second requirement of res judicata is identity of issues. If the second action between the same parties or privies is upon the same claim or demand, the judgment in the prior action operates as an absolute bar to relitigation not only of those matters actually determined in the prior suit but also any other matter which might have been acted upon in the prior suit. Cromwell v. County of Sac, 94 U.S.

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490

Art Unit: 1624

351. This aspect of the doctrine of res judicata, sometimes called res judicata by bar or merger, differs from the second aspect of res judicata, estoppel by judgment. If the second action is upon a different claim or demand, an estoppel by judgment, more limited in its scope than res judicata by bar, operates as an estoppel only as to those matters upon which a determination or final verdict was actually rendered. Cromwell v. County of Sac, supra. Because the distinction between res judicata by bar and estoppel by judgment is often a source of confusion, the definitive statements of the Supreme Court in Commissioner v. Sunnen, supra, at pages 597-598, 77 USPQ at 31-32, are here quoted at some length:

"It is first necessary to understand something of the recognized meaning and scope of res judicata, a doctrine judicial in origin. The general rule of res judicata applies to repetitious suits involving the same cause of action. It rests upon considerations of economy of judicial time and public policy favoring the establishment of certainty in legal relations. The rule provides that when a court of competent jurisdiction has entered a final judgment on the merits of a cause of action, the parties to the suit and their privies are thereafter bound "not only as to every matter which was offered and received

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490

Art Unit: 1624

to sustain or defeat the claim or demand, but as to any other admissible matter which might have been offered for that purpose.""

In a case factually similar to the current application, *In re Katz*, 167 USPQ 487 (CCPA 1970) the courts have held "Res judicata requires showing of identity of issues presented for adjudication and issues previously decided; claims of continuation application are rejected in view of decision in action under 35 U.S.C. 145, wherein court refused to authorize parent application's claims, since issues considered in such action are same as those raised on appeal from rejection of continuation claims inasmuch as word added to continuation claims has not been shown to mean anything more than what was set forth in specification and claims of parent application; hence, claims considered in 35 U.S.C. 145 had that limitation in issue."

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 6 is rejected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim. Acklin does not teach adding water to the reaction mixture.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 09/447,490

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Please direct any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier 7. communications from the examiner to Thomas C. McKenzie, Ph. D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-9806. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund J. Shah can be reached on (703) 308-4716. The fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 308-4556 for regular communications. Please direct any inquiry of a general nature or any inquiry relating to the status of this application to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

TCMcK TUM

May 15, 2000